

Downtown Livability Amenity Ideas for Consideration: Summary of Homework Exercise (10/16/2013)

Notes: Nine advisory committee members completed all or part of this exercise. This summary is intended for discussion purposes only and does not represent any final direction or recommendation. Highlighted cells throughout the document for "Importance to Achieving Downtown Livability" are for greater than or equal to 4.0 average or median score. Highlighted cells on this page for "Explore Retaining as Incentive" or "Explore Adding as Incentive" are for tallies of 6 or more YES.

Existing Amenity List See LUC 20.25A.030.C for detailed descriptions	Importance to Achieving Downtown Livability (1 low - 5 high)		Explore Retaining as Incentive	Require Outright with Potential of Raised Base FAR	Acquire by Other Means (i.e. Design Guidelines, CIP, Partnerships)
	AVERAGE	MEDIAN	# YES Responses	# YES Responses	# YES Responses
Open/Green/Gathering Space					
1. Major Pedestrian Corridor	3.9	4	8	5	7
2. Outdoor Plaza	3.9	4	9	1	4
3. Landscape Feature	3.7	4	6	6	2
4. Enclosed Plaza	2.8	3	3	1	3
5. Landscape Area	4.2	4	8	4	3
6. Active Recreation Area	3.0	3	6	0	8
7. Donation of Park Property	2.7	3	7	0	8
8. Residential Entry Courtyard	3.0	3	6	3	2
Weather Protection					
9. Marquee	3.1	3	5	2	2
10. Awning	4.0	4	4	4	5
11. Arcade	2.6	3	3	2	1
Parking					
12. Underground Parking	4.1	5	4	6	3
13. Above Grade Parking	2.4	2	4	3	6
14. Above grade Parking in a Residential Building	2.0	2	2	0	4
Uses					
15. Pedestrian Oriented Frontage	4.6	5	7	6	4
16. Public Meeting Rooms	1.8	2	2	0	5
17. Residential Uses	4.3	4	6	4	7
18. Child Care Services	3.6	4	6	3	7
19. Retail Food	4.2	5	7	2	3
20. Performing Arts Space	3.4	4	5	2	6
21. Space for Non-profit Social Svcs	2.9	3	5	3	7
Art Features					
22. Sculpture	3.4	3	5	0	4
23. Water Feature	3.1	3	5	0	3

New Ideas Discussed by Committee as of 9/18/2013	Importance to Achieving Downtown Livability (1 low - 5 high)		Explore Adding as Incentive	Require Outright with Potential of Raised Base FAR	Acquire by Other Means (i.e. Design Guidelines, CIP, Partnerships)
	AVERAGE	MEDIAN	# YES Responses	# YES Responses	# YES Responses
Open/Green/Gathering Space					
Third places (social gathering place outside home and work)	3.4	3	5	1	6
Upper level plaza	2.7	2	6	1	4
Green Space	4.3	5	7	2	6
Park Lid on I-405	2.8	3	2	1	5
Open space as part of a Downtown Open Space Master Plan	4.3	5	7	2	6
Activated Rooftops	2.8	3	6	1	3
Weather Protection					
Freestanding Canopies at corners	3.4	3	8	3	4
Design/Art Features					
Art space	2.9	3	6	1	6
Iconic Features (i.e. rooftop, tower, water feature)	3.0	3	7	2	5
Wayfinding	3.6	3.5	4	1	6
Other					
Sustainable Features/Practices	3.0	3	5	2	4
Affordable Housing	3.0	3	6	6	8
Fire Station	3.3	3	2	1	9
School	3.2	3	3	3	8

Downtown Livability Amenity Ideas for Consideration: Summary of Homework Exercise (10/16/2013)

Charrette Ideas See Charrette Sketch Book (Section 4 of Briefing Book)	Importance to Achieving Downtown Livability (1 low - 5 high)		Explore Adding as Incentive	Require Outright with Potential of Raised Base FAR	Acquire by Other Means (i.e. Design Guidelines, CIP, Partnerships)
	AVERAGE	MEDIAN	# YES Responses	# YES Responses	# YES Responses
NOMA/Ashwood					
NE 10th as Green Street - 14 ft min sidewalk w/ landscape buffer and urban courtyards at street. pg. 14	3.3	3	2	1	4
Edge Park at NE 10th and 112th. pg. 31-34	2.6	3	1	0	4
Interior pathway system with gardens open to the public. pg. 17-19	3.6	4	3	0	4
NOMA/Northwest Village					
Alleys with addresses through superblocks (activated odd number streets and through block connections pg. 23	3.7	4	4	2	5
Gateway at 10th & Bellevue Way - signals transition on 10th from busier east side to quieter west side. pg. 24	2.6	3	2	1	3
Landscaped medians. pg. 25	2.8	3	1	1	4
Pocket parks & urban courtyards - part of established open space network. pg. 26	4.7	5	6	2	5
Technology Learning Museum. pg. 39, 40	2.5	2	1	1	4
Village Square near QFC. pg. 43	2.9	3	1	1	4
Green Streets pg. 47	3.3	3	5	1	5
Large public gathering places. pg. 48	2.7	2	2	2	6
Eastside Center					
MPOS off-center (in addition to Pedestrian Corridor) pg. 54-55	3.0	3	3	1	4
Artist live/work spaces	2.8	2.5	3	2	4
Farmers Market space	4.0	4	5	1	5
NE 4th enhanced as "Park Street Boulevard" pg. 61, 121	3.1	3	2	1	3
Ridge to Bay trail - Ped Corridor to Meydenbauer Bay pgs. 62-65	3.3	3	2	1	5
Brand Signature Streets with unique design features pg. 66-70	3.1	3	5	2	5
Downtown Circulator pg. 72, 96	3.7	4	2	1	5
Water feature along Ped Corridor to connect Fountain Court City Hall pg. 79	2.9	3	0	1	4
SOMA					
Lake to Lake Trail pg. 100	2.6	3	1	0	4
Landscape Medians Main St and NE 4th. pg. 100, 121	2.7	3	2	0	3
NE 2nd park block developed as Charles Street Park. pg. 126-129	2.9	3	2	1	5
Art Mews on odd numbered streets. Closeable for events. pg. 102,103	2.5	2	3	1	4
Continuous east/west connect at approx. NE 1st Old Bellevue - 110th NE. pg. 104	3.2	3	3	1	4
Mid-Block Park - pedestrian only path	2.5	2.5	3	1	3
Water features as recurring iconic design feature. pg. 134	2.3	2	2	1	3
Green Streets -odd numbered N/S streets. 14 ft. sidewalks w/ 7 ft planters. pg. 105	3.0	3	4	1	3
Old Bellevue					
Downtown Park Energizers active rec, band shell, wading pool, skate park, concessions, link to marina, etc. pg. 110	3.5	3.5	1	0	5
Ellis Portal - NE entry to Park at Bellevue Way & NE 4th. pg. 111	3.7	4	3	1	5
Public parking garages for "park-once" concept. pg. 118	4.0	4	3	3	6
Major water feature connecting Downtown Park to Meydenbauer Bay. pg. 133	2.4	2	2	1	3

Green Street Ideas See Great Street Plan (Section 4 of Briefing Book)	Importance to Achieving Downtown Livability (1 low - 5 high)		Explore Adding as Incentive	Require Outright with Potential of Raised Base FAR	Acquire by Other Means (i.e. Design Guidelines, CIP, Partnerships)
	AVERAGE	MEDIAN	# YES Responses	# YES Responses	# YES Responses
Bellevue Way NE / Shopping Street					
Extra wide sidewalk for Art walk frontage NE 6th – NE 4th	3.0	3	2	2	5
Planted median	3.0	4	2	1	1
Curbside planting strip	4.1	4	3	4	4
106th NE / Entertainment Street					
Extra wide sidewalk for markets, festivals, etc. NE 4th – NE 8th	3.6	4	2	3	5
Celebrated intersection treatment	3.8	4	3	2	5
108th NE / Commerce Street					
Bike route facilities	3.3	3	2	1	5
18 Ft sidewalk at for existing tree preservation NE 6th – NE 8th	3.3	3	1	3	3
Curbside planting strip	4.0	4	3	5	4
Planted median	1.9	1	2	1	1
NE 4th / Crosstown Connection					
Extra wide sidewalk for terraced frontage 110th – 108th	2.0	2	3	1	3
Curbside planting strip	3.6	4	3	3	2
Planted median	1.9	2	2	2	1
Gateway NE 4th @ 112th NE	2.6	3	4	2	3
NE 8th / Crosstown Connection					
Extra wide sidewalk for garden walk frontage 110th – 108th	3.1	3	1	2	4
Landmark tree preservation	3.7	3	5	4	2
Significant tree planting	3.4	3	5	2	2
Planted median	2.5	2.5	2	1	2
Gateway at 100th and 112th	2.7	3	2	1	2
All					
Implementation of Art Elements Plan	3.3	3	4	1	5
Art walk plaza	2.6	2	4	3	4
Pocket plaza	3.0	3	4	2	3
Use of Toolkit Elements	2.6	3	2	0	1
Midblock Crossings	3.9	4	2	2	5
Celebrated intersection treatment	3.0	3	2	2	3

Downtown Livability Amenity Ideas for Consideration: Summary of Homework Exercise (10/16/2013)

Other Ideas from the Public From written and oral comment since 11/29/2012	Importance to Achieving Downtown Livability (1 low - 5 high)		Explore Adding as Incentive	Require Outright with Potential of Raised Base FAR	Acquire by Other Means (i.e. Design Guidelines, CIP, Partnerships)
	AVERAGE	MEDIAN	# YES Responses	# YES Responses	# YES Responses
Open/Green/Gathering Spaces					
Event space in lobbies	1.7	1	1	0	2
Connectivity through plazas and blocks	3.9	4	7	4	3
Connections to neighborhoods and districts	3.6	3.5	5	3	4
Enhanced sidewalks	3.4	3	4	2	4
Midblock crossings	3.9	3.5	5	2	6
Child play areas	3.4	4	3	0	6
Pet friendly space/off-leash dog park	3.3	4	4	1	4
Green space that is part of a complete Downtown system	4.3	4.5	6	3	6
City Park Improvements, i.e. Ashwood	3.5	4	2	0	7
Parking					
Scooter parking	2.3	2.5	2	0	3
Electric car charging	2.6	3	6	0	3
ADA parking above minimum	2.5	2.5	1	0	4
Bike parking and other facilities	3.3	3.5	5	3	4
Public parking garage	4.3	4	3	1	7
Uses					
No Pedestrian-Oriented Frontage (POF) bonus in Perimeter	1.8	1.5	0	0	2
Row housing	1.8	2	0	1	4
Zip car type service	2.1	2.5	2	1	5
Shared public bikes	2.8	3	2	1	5
Sports facilities	2.3	1.5	0	1	5
Universities / schools	3.4	4	1	1	5
Financial, real estate, medical as Pedestrian-Oriented Frontage (POF)	2.4	2.5	3	1	2
Diversity of housing type	2.9	3	3	2	4
Family-size housing	3.4	3	3	4	4
Community center	3.3	3	3	5	6
Non-profit organizations	2.4	2	2	0	4
Food carts	2.7	3	1	1	5
Design/Art Features					
Historic preservation	3.5	4	3	1	5
Historic building elements	3.0	3	3	1	3
Interpretive signage	3.0	3	2	1	4
Increased setbacks for light/air	3.9	4	6	4	2
Small lot interesting architecture	3.1	3	5	1	2
Pedestrian scaled lighting	4.1	4	4	2	3
Seating/benches	4.0	4	4	1	5
Continuous weather protection	3.8	4	5	3	4
Other					
0-carbon footprint	1.4	1	1	0	1
Fiber optic infrastructure	3.4	4	3	0	3
On-site storm water drainage systems	2.0	1	1	0	3
Fee in lieu system	3.0	3	3	2	3
Exempt affordable housing from FAR	2.9	3	5	1	3